

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/012,202 | HIRSH, MARK | |

| | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Humera N. Sheikh | 1615 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Appeal Brief filed 2/19/04

(1) Humera N. Sheikh. (3) _____.

(2) Patreo Pabst. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-29

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon thorough review of the application, the Examiner and her supervisor, T. K. Page suggested the following changes to place the application in a condition for allowance: (1) To amend claim 1, part (b) to include the phrase limitations 'wherein the delayed release portion is achieved by coating a core or granulations with at least one delayed release control polymer'. Attorney was informed that a clear definition of the term 'biphasic' had not been provided in the instant specification and hence, the added claim language to independent claim 1 was being added to show how Applicant's results were achieved. Attorney agreed to Examiner's suggestions. Examiner agreed to do an Examiner's Amendment making the necessary changes to claim 1. A Notice of Allowability will be mailed.